

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/803,325	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CARSELLO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Ted M. Wang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2611

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Ted M. Wang.

(2) Larry Brown.

**Date of Interview:** 30 April 2008

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 10:23 am

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  
  

Claims discussed:

*19 and 21*

Prior art documents discussed:

  
  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The proposed Examiner amendment has been agreed by the applicant's representative, Larry Brown. The instant application is in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)